

Report Card on **Alberta's Elementary Schools** 2024



Max Shang and Peter Cowley

COMPARE SCHOOL RANKINGS.ORG



Report Card on Alberta's Elementary Schools 2024

By Max Shang and Peter Cowley

Contents

Introduction / 3

Key academic indicators of school performance / 5

Other indicators of
school performance / 7

Notes / 8

How does your school stack up? / 9

Appendix: Calculating the *Overall rating out of 10* / 17

About the authors / 19

Publishing information / 20

Supporting the Fraser Institute / 21

Purpose, funding, & independence / 21

About the Fraser Institute / 22

Editorial Advisory Board / 22

Introduction

The *Report Card on Alberta's Elementary Schools 2024* (hereafter, *Report Card*) reports a variety of relevant, objective indicators of school performance. These indicators are used to calculate an overall rating for each school. On the basis of this rating, the schools are ranked. The *Report Card* brings all of this information together in one easily accessible public document so that anyone can analyze and compare the performance of individual schools. By doing so, the *Report Card* assists parents when they choose a school for their children and encourages and assists all those seeking to improve their school.

The *Report Card* can help parents choose

In Alberta, many parents enjoy considerable choice regarding the school in which they will enroll their children. Where choice is available, the *Report Card* provides a valuable decision-making tool. Because it makes comparisons easy, the *Report Card* alerts parents to nearby schools that appear to have more effective academic programs. Further, parents can determine whether schools of interest are improving over time. By first studying the *Report Card*, parents will be better prepared to ask relevant questions when they interview the principal and teachers at the schools they are considering.

Of course, the choice of a school should not be made solely on the basis of a single source of information. A tour of each school of interest and an interview with the principal can be useful. Parents who already have a child enrolled at the school provide another point of view.

The *Report Card* provides a detailed picture of

each school's academic outcomes that is not easily available elsewhere. Naturally, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*.

The *Report Card* facilitates school improvement

Certainly, the act of publicly rating and ranking schools attracts attention. Schools that perform well or show consistent improvement are applauded. The results of poorly performing schools generate concern, as do those of schools whose performance is deteriorating. This inevitable attention provides an incentive for all those connected with a school to focus on student results.

However, the *Report Card* offers more than incentive: it includes a variety of indicators, each of which reports results for an aspect of school performance that might be improved. School administrators who are dedicated to improvement accept the *Report Card* as another source of opportunities for positive change.

Some schools do better than others

Knowing that a school's results require improvement is the first step. However, to improve a school, one must believe that improvement is achievable. This *Report Card* provides evidence about what can be accomplished. It demonstrates clearly that, even when we take into account factors such as the students' family and personal characteristics, some schools do better than others. This finding confirms the results of research carried out in other countries.¹ It will come as no great surprise to experienced parents and

educators that the data consistently suggest that what goes on in the schools makes a difference to academic results and that some schools make more of a difference than others.

Comparisons are at the heart of the improvement process

Many elementary-school authorities in Alberta provide students and their parents with report cards that include both the student's mark and the median mark for each subject in which the student is enrolled. The report cards also show any marks awarded to the student earlier in the year. Comparative and historical data like these enable students and parents to see a clearer picture of an individual student's progress. By comparing a school's results with those of neighbouring schools or of schools with similar school and student characteristics, we can identify more successful schools and learn from them. By comparing a school's latest results with those of earlier years, we

can see if the school is improving. Reference to overall provincial results places an individual school's level of achievement in a broader context.

There is great benefit in identifying schools that are particularly effective. By studying the techniques used in schools where students are successful, less effective schools may find ways to improve.

Comparisons are at the heart of improvement: making comparisons among schools is made simpler and more meaningful by the *Report Card's* indicators, ratings, and rankings.

You can contribute to the development of the *Report Card*

The *Report Card* program benefits from the input of interested parties. We welcome your suggestions, comments, and criticisms. Please contact co-author Max Shang at max.shang@fraserinstitute.org.

Key academic indicators of school performance

The foundation of the *Report Card* is an overall rating of each school's academic performance. Building on data about student results provided by Alberta Education (the provincial ministry of education), we rate each school on a scale from zero to 10. Because Alberta's ministry of education has not released any grade 3 province-wide test results since 2012-2013, we base our overall rating of each school's academic performance on seven indicators:

- (1) average achievement-test marks (percent) in grade-6 language arts;
- (2) average achievement-test marks (percent) in grade-6 mathematics;
- (3) average achievement-test marks (percent) in grade-6 science;
- (4) average achievement-test marks (percent) in grade-6 social studies;
- (5) the percentage of achievement tests in (1) to (4) where the results were below the acceptable standard;
- (6) the difference between male and female students in their average achievement-test mark in grade-6 language arts;
- (7) the difference between male and female students in their average achievement-test mark in grade-6 mathematics.

We have selected this set of indicators as they provide systematic insight into a school's performance: because they are based on annually generated data, we can assess not only each school's performance in a year but also its improvement or deterioration over time.

Indicators of effective teaching

Average achievement-test marks

These indicators (in the tables *Avg test mark*) report the average percentage achieved by a school's students on the uniform achievement tests in four core subject areas. For each school, each indicator is the average score (expressed as a percentage) achieved by all of the school's students who completed the tests in language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies at the grade-6 level.

Examinations are designed to achieve a distribution of results reflecting the differences in students' mastery of this course work. Differences among students in abilities, motivation, and work-habits will inevitably have some impact upon the final results. There are, however, recognizable differences from school to school within a district in the average results on the achievement tests. There is also variation within schools in the results obtained in different subject areas. Such differences in outcomes cannot be wholly explained by the individual and family characteristics of the school's students. It seems reasonable, therefore, to include the average test marks in these critical subject areas as indicators of effective teaching.

Percentage of achievement tests failed

For each school, this indicator (in the tables *Percentage of tests failed*) provides the rate of failure (as a percentage) on the achievement tests. It was derived by dividing the sum of all the above achievement tests written by the school's students where the score was below the acceptable standard by the total number of such tests written by those students.

Since language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies are critical to students' further intellectual and personal development, students should,

at the minimum, be able to demonstrate that they meet the acceptable standard of performance for their grade in these subject areas. Schools have the responsibility of ensuring that their students are adequately prepared to do so.

How well do the teachers take differences among students into account?

The Gender gap indicators

Undoubtedly, the personal characteristics of students can influence the way that they learn. Successful teachers will take into account these characteristics as they develop and implement their lesson plans and teaching strategies. The extent to which a school's teachers succeed in this task can be measured by comparing the results of two different groups of students known to exhibit differences in their learning patterns and academic results.²

The relative academic success of boys and girls is a subject of considerable interest in the education establishment as evidenced by the near universal collection of student results data for these two groups of students by ministries of education. The *Report Card* uses these data to construct the *Gender gap* indicators.

The *Gender gap* indicators measure the difference, if any, between boys and girls in their average marks on achievement tests in grade-6 language arts and mathematics. The indicators report the size of the difference and the more successful sex.

In general, how is the school doing, academically? The Overall rating out of 10

While each of the indicators is important, it is

almost always the case that any school does better on some indicators than on others. So, just as a teacher must make a decision about a student's overall performance, we need an overall indicator of school performance (in the tables *Overall rating out of 10*). Just as teachers combine test scores, homework, and class participation to rate a student, we have combined all the indicators to produce an overall school rating. The overall rating of school performance answers the question, "In general, how is the school doing academically compared to other schools in the *Report Card*?"

To derive this rating, the results for each of the indicators, for each school year, were first standardized. Standardization is a statistical procedure whereby sets of raw data with different characteristics are converted into sets of values with "standard" statistical properties. Standardized values can readily be combined and compared.

The standardized data were then combined where required to produce standardized scores—one for each indicator—for each school, for each year. The standardized scores were weighted and combined to produce an overall standardized score. Finally, this score was converted into an overall rating out of 10. It is from this *Overall rating out of 10* that the school's provincial rank is determined. For schools where only boys or girls were enrolled, there are, of course, no results for the *Gender gap* indicators. In these cases the *Overall rating* is derived using the remaining indicators. (See Appendix 1 for an explanation of the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10*.)

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating*, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

Other indicators of school performance

The *Report Card* includes other indicators that, while they are not used to derive the *Overall rating out of 10*, add more information on the school's effectiveness.

The *Tests not written* indicator

The uniform achievement tests provide objective measures of how each student is progressing in important basic subject areas. Such feedback is important to parents. It is also important to teachers, school administrators, and taxpayers, for whom the test results are an indication of the extent to which the schools have successfully discharged their responsibilities.

Alberta Education requires that all students who are able to write these tests do so. The *Tests not written* indicator calculates the percentage of the tests that could have been completed by the school's students but which were not assigned a score. Such non-participation results when students are excused from the tests, are absent for some part of the tests, or do not complete the test to the extent necessary to score it. Thus, this indicator assesses the extent to which each school takes full advantage of the uniform achievement tests as useful measurements of student achievement.

The *Trend* indicator

Is the school improving academically? The *Report Card* provides five years of data for most schools.

Unlike a simple snapshot of one year's results, this historical record provides evidence of change (or lack thereof) over time. To detect trends in the performance indicators, we developed the *Trend* indicator. This indicator uses statistical analysis to identify those dimensions of school performance in which there has likely been real change rather than a fluctuation in results caused by random occurrences. To calculate the trends, the standardized scores rather than raw data are used. Standardizing makes historical data more comparable and the trend measurement more reliable. Because calculation of trends is uncertain when only a small number of data points is available, a trend is indicated only in those circumstances where five years of data are available and where the trend is statistically significant.³ For this indicator, we have defined the term "statistically significant" to mean that, nine times out of 10, the change that is noted is real; that is, it did not happen just by chance.

Indicators of student characteristics and programs

In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest available at <https://www.compareschoolrankings.org>. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

Notes

- 1 See, for instance, Michael Rutter et al., *Fifteen Thousand Hours: Secondary Schools and Their Effects on Children* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1979) and Peter Mortimore et al., *School Matters: The Junior Years* (Wells, Somerset: Open Books, 1988).
- 2 See for example, Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Public Policy Sources 22 (Vancouver, BC: The Fraser Institute, 1999).
- 3 The *Report Card* normally uses five consecutive years of results but as Alberta did not test students for two years due to COVID-19 we use 2016-2019 and 2022 here.

How does your school stack up?

Important notes to the rankings

In this table, schools are ranked (on the left hand side of the page) in descending order (from 1 to 728) according to their academic performance as measured by the Overall rating out of ten (shown on the right hand side of the table) for the school year 2022/2023. Each school's five-year average ranking and Overall rating out of ten are also listed. The higher the overall rating (out of 10), the higher the rank awarded to the school. Where schools tied in the overall rating, they were awarded the same rank. Where less than five years of data was available "n/a" appears in the table.

Not all the province's elementary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. Of all the schools in the province reporting achievement-test results, 730 are included in this Report Card. In some school districts and divisions that operate middle schools or junior high schools, the elementary schools

may not enroll students in grade 6. Since the Report Card is based on the results of tests given in grade 6, these elementary schools cannot be included. In addition, schools at which fewer than 10 students were enrolled in either grade 3 or grade 6 are excluded, as are schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an Overall rating out of 10 for the school year 2022/2023.

The exclusion of a school from the Report Card should in no way be construed as a judgement of the school's effectiveness.

IMPORTANT: In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

---Rank---				---Overall rating---			
2022/	Last			2022/	Last		
2023	5	Trend	School name	2023	5		City
	yrs				yrs		
1	1	—	Master's	10.0	10.0		Calgary
1	2	▲	Webber	10.0	9.9		Calgary
1	3	—	Renert	10.0	9.8		Calgary
1	6	—	Clear Water	10.0	9.6		Calgary
5	6	▲	Stratford	9.8	9.6		Edmonton
5	8	—	Calgary French & International	9.8	9.5		Calgary
5	73	—	Notre-Dame des Monts	9.8	7.6		Canmore
8	13	—	Strathcona-Tweedsmuir	9.7	9.0		Okotoks
9	8	—	Sunalta	9.6	9.5		Calgary
9	12	▲	Holy Name	9.6	9.1		Calgary
11	5	—	Mount Pleasant	9.5	9.7		Edmonton
11	10	—	Grandview Heights	9.5	9.4		Edmonton
13	10	—	Rundle College	9.4	9.4		Calgary
13	13	▲	Strathcona Christian	9.4	9.0		Sherwood Park
13	17	—	Louis Pasteur	9.4	8.9		Calgary
16	13	—	St. Joan of Arc	9.3	9.0		Calgary

---Rank---				---Overall rating---			
2022/	Last			2022/	Last		
2023	5	Trend	School name	2023	5		City
	yrs				yrs		
17	25	—	Edison	9.2	8.6		Okotoks
18	21	▲	Bearspaw Christian	9.1	8.7		Calgary
18	25	▲	Khalsa	9.1	8.6		Calgary
18	230	—	North Haven	9.1	6.5		Calgary
21	n/a	n/a	St. Thomas Aquinas	9.0	n/a		Calgary
21	3	—	Windsor Park	9.0	9.8		Edmonton
21	13	—	Meadowlark	9.0	9.0		Edmonton
21	105	▲	Frere Antoine	9.0	7.4		Edmonton
21	143	▲	Banff	9.0	7.1		Banff
26	n/a	n/a	Maria Montessori	8.9	n/a		Calgary
26	n/a	n/a	Brentwood	8.9	n/a		Calgary
26	33	▲	Fultonvale	8.9	8.4		Sherwood Park
26	33	—	Trinity Christian	8.9	8.4		Calgary
26	96	—	St. Sylvester	8.9	7.5		Calgary
31	n/a	n/a	Constable Daniel Woodall	8.8	n/a		Edmonton
31	21	—	Earl Buxton	8.8	8.7		Edmonton

---Rank---			---Overall rating---			---Rank---			---Overall rating---				
2022/	Last		2022/	Last		2022/	Last		2022/	Last			
2023	5	Trend	2023	5	Trend	2023	5	Trend	2023	5	Trend		
School name			City			School name			City				
31	37	—	Suzuki	Edmonton	8.8	8.3	88	n/a	n/a	Blessed Marie-Rose	Calgary	7.9	n/a
31	37	—	Calgary Jewish	Calgary	8.8	8.3	88	n/a	n/a	Vulcan Prairieview	Vulcan	7.9	n/a
31	45	—	Rideau Park	Calgary	8.8	8.1	88	49	—	Sister Annata Brockman	Edmonton	7.9	8.0
31	73	▲	Belgravia	Edmonton	8.8	7.6	88	49	—	Good Shepherd	Edmonton	7.9	8.0
37	n/a	n/a	Coaldale Christian	Coaldale	8.7	n/a	88	73	—	Brentwood	Sherwood Park	7.9	7.6
37	18	—	New Horizons	Sherwood Park	8.7	8.8	88	73	—	St. Luke	Calgary	7.9	7.6
37	25	—	Meyokumin	Edmonton	8.7	8.6	88	105	▲	Our Lady of Grace	Calgary	7.9	7.4
37	37	—	Calgary Waldorf	Calgary	8.7	8.3	88	130	—	Westglen	Edmonton	7.9	7.2
37	62	▲	Altadore	Calgary	8.7	7.8	88	130	—	St. Stanislaus	Edmonton	7.9	7.2
42	n/a	n/a	Claudette-et-Denis-Tardif	Sherwood Park	8.6	n/a	88	143	—	Capitol Hill	Calgary	7.9	7.1
42	21	—	Hillhurst	Calgary	8.6	8.7	88	179	▲	Edmonton Islamic	Edmonton	7.9	6.9
42	25	—	Lendrum	Edmonton	8.6	8.6	100	n/a	n/a	Talmud Torah	Edmonton	7.8	n/a
42	25	—	Tempo	Edmonton	8.6	8.6	100	33	—	River Valley	Calgary	7.8	8.4
42	49	▲	St. Jerome	Calgary	8.6	8.0	100	42	▼	Dr. E. W. Coffin	Calgary	7.8	8.2
47	18	—	Westbrook	Edmonton	8.5	8.8	100	49	—	Archbishop Joseph MacNeil	Edmonton	7.8	8.0
47	162	—	Grandin	Edmonton	8.5	7.0	100	62	—	Westbrook	Cochrane	7.8	7.8
49	n/a	n/a	Horizon	Calgary	8.4	n/a	100	73	—	Clara Tyner	Edmonton	7.8	7.6
49	n/a	n/a	Sister Alphonse	St. Albert	8.4	n/a	100	96	—	Monsignor William Irwin	Edmonton	7.8	7.5
49	n/a	n/a	Heritage Hills	Sherwood Park	8.4	n/a	100	118	▲	Muir Lake	Stony Plain	7.8	7.3
49	n/a	n/a	Colonel Walker	Calgary	8.4	n/a	100	118	▲	Queen Elizabeth	Calgary	7.8	7.3
49	33	—	Calgary Christian	Calgary	8.4	8.4	100	179	—	St. Matthew	Calgary	7.8	6.9
49	42	—	Greenfield	Edmonton	8.4	8.2	110	60	—	Millwoods Christian	Edmonton	7.7	7.9
49	73	—	Lakeland Ridge	Sherwood Park	8.4	7.6	110	67	—	Airdrie Koinonia Christian	Airdrie	7.7	7.7
49	73	—	Marion Carson	Calgary	8.4	7.6	110	67	—	CAPE	Medicine Hat	7.7	7.7
57	n/a	n/a	Guardian Angel	Calgary	8.3	n/a	110	67	—	Glenora	Edmonton	7.7	7.7
57	n/a	n/a	Divine Mercy	Calgary	8.3	n/a	110	73	—	Woodbridge Farms	Sherwood Park	7.7	7.6
57	n/a	n/a	Joey Moss	Edmonton	8.3	n/a	110	96	—	Johnny Bright	Edmonton	7.7	7.5
57	25	—	Louis Riel	Calgary	8.3	8.6	110	96	—	Earl Grey	Calgary	7.7	7.5
57	42	—	Elbow Park	Calgary	8.3	8.2	110	105	—	Michael A Kostek	Edmonton	7.7	7.4
57	49	—	St. Brigid	Calgary	8.3	8.0	110	118	—	Pine Street	Sherwood Park	7.7	7.3
57	49	—	Manning	Manning	8.3	8.0	110	118	—	Heritage Christian	Calgary	7.7	7.3
57	105	—	Prince of Wales	Calgary	8.3	7.4	110	130	▲	Bishop Greschuk	Edmonton	7.7	7.2
65	n/a	n/a	Waldorf	Edmonton	8.2	n/a	110	194	—	Lamont	Lamont	7.7	6.8
65	n/a	n/a	Winfield	Winfield	8.2	n/a	110	265	—	Killam	Killam	7.7	6.3
65	n/a	n/a	J. C. Charyk Hanna	Hanna	8.2	n/a	123	n/a	n/a	Gobind Sarvar	Calgary	7.6	n/a
65	32	▼	Richard Secord	Edmonton	8.2	8.5	123	45	—	Glamorgan	Calgary	7.6	8.1
65	49	▲	Nellie Carlson	Edmonton	8.2	8.0	123	60	—	Fish Creek	Calgary	7.6	7.9
65	73	—	Brookside	Edmonton	8.2	7.6	123	62	—	A. Blair McPherson	Edmonton	7.6	7.8
71	n/a	n/a	St. Benedict	Calgary	8.1	n/a	123	73	—	St. Hubert	Calgary	7.6	7.6
71	67	—	St. Dominic	Calgary	8.1	7.7	123	118	—	Bearspaw	Calgary	7.6	7.3
71	96	—	Prince of Peace	Calgary	8.1	7.5	123	118	—	Dapp	Dapp	7.6	7.3
71	143	▲	St. John Bosco	Edmonton	8.1	7.1	123	143	—	Footprints for Learning	Airdrie	7.6	7.1
75	n/a	n/a	Holy Child	Calgary	8.0	n/a	123	162	—	Monsignor Fee Otterson	Edmonton	7.6	7.0
75	n/a	n/a	Glenmeadows	Calgary	8.0	n/a	123	194	—	Ascension of Our Lord	Calgary	7.6	6.8
75	n/a	n/a	Andrew Sibbald	Calgary	8.0	n/a	123	230	—	J H Picard	Edmonton	7.6	6.5
75	18	—	St. Vincent De Paul	Calgary	8.0	8.8	123	317	—	Laurier Heights	Edmonton	7.6	5.9
75	25	—	George H Luck	Edmonton	8.0	8.6	123	365	—	St. Rita	Calgary	7.6	5.6
75	45	—	George P. Nicholson	Edmonton	8.0	8.1	136	n/a	n/a	Jan Reimer	Edmonton	7.5	n/a
75	67	—	St. Basil	Calgary	8.0	7.7	136	n/a	n/a	Griffith Woods	Calgary	7.5	n/a
75	73	—	J. J. Nearing	St. Albert	8.0	7.6	136	62	—	Chaparral	Calgary	7.5	7.8
75	105	—	Marie Poburan	St. Albert	8.0	7.4	136	73	—	La Vérendrye	Lethbridge	7.5	7.6
75	118	—	Keheewin	Edmonton	8.0	7.3	136	96	—	Lynnwood	Edmonton	7.5	7.5
75	118	—	St. Teresa	Edmonton	8.0	7.3	136	105	—	St. Elizabeth Seton	Calgary	7.5	7.4
75	130	—	St. Joseph	Calgary	8.0	7.2	136	143	—	Campbelltown	Sherwood Park	7.5	7.1
75	162	—	Uncas	Ardrossan	8.0	7.0	136	143	—	Meadowlark Christian	Edmonton	7.5	7.1
88	n/a	n/a	Dr. Lila Fahlman	Edmonton	7.9	n/a	136	162	—	Ellerslie	Edmonton	7.5	7.0

---Rank---					-Overall rating-		---Rank---					-Overall rating-	
2022/	5	Last	School name		City	2022/	5	Last	2022/	5	Last	2022/	5
2023	yrs	Trend				2023	yrs		2023	yrs		2023	yrs
136	179	▲	Jackson Heights	Edmonton	7.5	6.9	187	290	—	Hillview	Edmonton	7.2	6.1
136	462	—	St. Elizabeth Seton	Edmonton	7.5	4.9	187	317	—	Mother Teresa	Medicine Hat	7.2	5.9
147	n/a	n/a	Calgary Academy Collegiate	Calgary	7.4	n/a	187	334	—	St. Mary	Lethbridge	7.2	5.8
147	n/a	n/a	Notre-Dame des Vallees	Cochrane	7.4	n/a	187	334	—	Don Bosco	Calgary	7.2	5.8
147	n/a	n/a	Tanbridge Academy	Foothills	7.4	n/a	205	n/a	n/a	Terre des Jeunes	Calgary	7.1	n/a
147	n/a	n/a	Svend Hansen	Edmonton	7.4	n/a	205	n/a	n/a	du Nouveau-Monde	Calgary	7.1	n/a
147	n/a	n/a	St. Josephine Bakhita	Calgary	7.4	n/a	205	n/a	n/a	St. Veronica	Airdrie	7.1	n/a
147	n/a	n/a	St. Mary	Westlock	7.4	n/a	205	73	—	Westgate	Calgary	7.1	7.6
147	n/a	n/a	Pipestone	Millet	7.4	n/a	205	73	—	Menno Simons Christian	Calgary	7.1	7.6
147	n/a	n/a	Erskine	Erskine	7.4	n/a	205	118	—	Christ the King	Calgary	7.1	7.3
147	49	—	Patricia Heights	Edmonton	7.4	8.0	205	143	—	Meyonohk	Edmonton	7.1	7.1
147	62	—	Killarney	Calgary	7.4	7.8	205	162	—	Blessed Sacrament	Wainwright	7.1	7.0
147	73	—	St. Anne	Fort McMurray	7.4	7.6	205	179	—	Monsignor J. S. Smith	Calgary	7.1	6.9
147	105	—	Battalion Park	Calgary	7.4	7.4	205	179	—	Spruce View	Spruce View	7.1	6.9
147	143	—	Michael Strembitsky	Edmonton	7.4	7.1	205	179	—	St. Patrick Fine Arts	Lethbridge	7.1	6.9
147	143	—	Dr. Margaret-Ann Armour	Edmonton	7.4	7.1	205	194	—	River Heights	Medicine Hat	7.1	6.8
147	143	—	Living Waters	Spruce Grove	7.4	7.1	205	194	—	Forest Heights	Edmonton	7.1	6.8
147	162	—	Indus	Calgary	7.4	7.0	205	220	▲	Westboro	Sherwood Park	7.1	6.6
147	179	—	Kitscoty	Kitscoty	7.4	6.9	205	248	—	Our Lady of Fatima	Calgary	7.1	6.4
147	194	—	Father James Whelihan	Calgary	7.4	6.8	205	265	—	Vincent Massey	Medicine Hat	7.1	6.3
147	207	▲	St. Margaret	Calgary	7.4	6.7	205	302	—	Parkallen	Edmonton	7.1	6.0
147	248	—	Eckville	Eckville	7.4	6.4	205	317	—	St. James	Calgary	7.1	5.9
147	317	—	Destiny	Red Deer	7.4	5.9	205	365	▲	Pere-Lacombe	Edmonton	7.1	5.6
147	349	—	Ranchlands	Calgary	7.4	5.7	224	n/a	n/a	St. Thomas Aquinas	Edmonton	7.0	n/a
169	n/a	n/a	Copperhaven	Spruce Grove	7.3	n/a	224	n/a	n/a	St. Gianna	Calgary	7.0	n/a
169	n/a	n/a	Connections for Learning	Stony Plain	7.3	n/a	224	n/a	n/a	Al-Amal Academy	Calgary	7.0	n/a
169	n/a	n/a	Sunnyside	Lethbridge	7.3	n/a	224	73	—	Clive	Clive	7.0	7.6
169	37	—	Briar Hill	Calgary	7.3	8.3	224	96	—	McKernan	Edmonton	7.0	7.5
169	73	—	Mother Teresa of Calcutta	Calgary	7.3	7.6	224	105	▼	St. Sebastian	Calgary	7.0	7.4
169	105	—	Wes Hosford	Sherwood Park	7.3	7.4	224	130	—	St. Jerome's	Vermilion	7.0	7.2
169	105	—	Pollard Meadows	Edmonton	7.3	7.4	224	162	—	St. Cecilia	Calgary	7.0	7.0
169	130	—	Esther Starkman	Edmonton	7.3	7.2	224	220	—	Edmonton Christian Northeast	Edmonton	7.0	6.6
169	130	—	St. Thomas Aquinas	Provost	7.3	7.2	224	248	—	St. Martin	Edmonton	7.0	6.4
169	130	—	St. Paul	Edmonton	7.3	7.2	234	n/a	n/a	Alpenglow	Canmore	6.9	n/a
169	130	—	Our Lady of the Assumption	Calgary	7.3	7.2	234	n/a	n/a	St. Marguerite	Calgary	6.9	n/a
169	179	—	Covenant Christian	Leduc	7.3	6.9	234	21	—	Westmount	Calgary	6.9	8.7
169	194	▲	St. John XXIII	Edmonton	7.3	6.8	234	49	—	John Costello	Calgary	6.9	8.0
169	207	▲	Wheatland Crossing	Standard	7.3	6.7	234	73	—	Ardrossan	Ardrossan	6.9	7.6
169	220	—	Olds Koinonia	Olds	7.3	6.6	234	118	—	Roberta MacAdams	Edmonton	6.9	7.3
169	230	—	Coronation	Edmonton	7.3	6.5	234	118	—	Sir Alexander Mackenzie	St. Albert	6.9	7.3
169	290	—	Airdrie	Airdrie	7.3	6.1	234	162	—	Kildare	Edmonton	6.9	7.0
169	365	—	Our Lady Queen of Peace	Airdrie	7.3	5.6	234	162	—	Cardinal Newman	Calgary	6.9	7.0
187	n/a	n/a	St. Gabriel	Fort McMurray	7.2	n/a	234	179	—	Caledonia Park	Leduc	6.9	6.9
187	n/a	n/a	Davidson Creek	Sherwood Park	7.2	n/a	234	179	—	LaPerle	Edmonton	6.9	6.9
187	n/a	n/a	Queen Alexandra	Edmonton	7.2	n/a	234	194	—	University	Calgary	6.9	6.8
187	67	—	Crestwood	Edmonton	7.2	7.7	234	207	—	St. Wilfrid	Calgary	6.9	6.7
187	73	—	Neil M Ross	St. Albert	7.2	7.6	234	230	—	Condor	Condor	6.9	6.5
187	105	▼	Covenant Canadian Reformed	Neerlandia	7.2	7.4	248	n/a	n/a	Cochrane Christian	Cochrane	6.8	n/a
187	130	—	Our Lady of Wisdom	Chestermere	7.2	7.2	248	n/a	n/a	Our Lady of the Rosary	Calgary	6.8	n/a
187	143	—	Our Lady of the Evergreens	Calgary	7.2	7.1	248	n/a	n/a	BCS@Home	Calgary	6.8	n/a
187	162	—	St. Catherine	Calgary	7.2	7.0	248	n/a	n/a	St. Andrew	Calgary	6.8	n/a
187	179	—	St. Boniface	Edmonton	7.2	6.9	248	143	—	St. Mary	Edmonton	6.8	7.1
187	179	—	Ste-Jeanne-d'Arc	Edmonton	7.2	6.9	248	220	—	Wainwright	Wainwright	6.8	6.6
187	207	—	St. Mary's	Sexsmith	7.2	6.7	248	230	▲	Malmo	Edmonton	6.8	6.5
187	220	—	St. Albert the Great	Calgary	7.2	6.6	248	277	—	Father Leonard Van Tighem	Lethbridge	6.8	6.2
187	248	▲	Grovenor	Edmonton	7.2	6.4	248	317	—	Hawkwood	Calgary	6.8	5.9

---Rank---					---Overall rating---		---Rank---					---Overall rating---				
2022/	5	Last	School name		City	2022/	5	Last	2022/	5	Last	2022/	5	Last		
2023	yrs	Trend				2023	yrs		2023	yrs		2023	yrs			
248	349	—	Prairie Christian		Three Hills	6.8	5.7		301	248	—	St. Joseph's		Coaldale	6.5	6.4
258	n/a	n/a	Parc		Fort Saskatchewan	6.7	n/a		301	290	—	St. Marguerite Bourgeoys		Innisfail	6.5	6.1
258	n/a	n/a	Father Michael Mireau		Edmonton	6.7	n/a		301	317	—	Harry Balfour		Grande Prairie	6.5	5.9
258	n/a	n/a	Corpus Christi		Edmonton	6.7	n/a		301	399	—	Plamondon		Plamondon	6.5	5.3
258	n/a	n/a	Windsong Heights		Airdrie	6.7	n/a		301	399	—	Oliver		Edmonton	6.5	5.3
258	45	—	Foundations for the Future		Calgary	6.7	8.1		301	416	—	Lansdowne		Edmonton	6.5	5.2
258	49	▼	Jennie Elliott		Calgary	6.7	8.0		301	434	—	H. A. Kostash		Smoky Lake	6.5	5.1
258	96	—	Monsignor E. L. Doyle		Calgary	6.7	7.5		301	507	—	Clairmont		Clairmont	6.5	4.3
258	96	—	Elboya		Calgary	6.7	7.5		321	n/a	n/a	Kim Hung		Edmonton	6.4	n/a
258	130	—	Holy Angels		Calgary	6.7	7.2		321	n/a	n/a	MAC Islamic Calgary		Calgary	6.4	n/a
258	130	—	Poplar Ridge		Red Deer	6.7	7.2		321	n/a	n/a	Horse Hill		Edmonton	6.4	n/a
258	162	—	Monsignor J. J. O'Brien		Calgary	6.7	7.0		321	37	—	Aurora		Edmonton	6.4	8.3
258	162	—	Neerlandia Public Christian		Neerlandia	6.7	7.0		321	143	—	Mother Mary Greene		Calgary	6.4	7.1
258	194	—	Camilla		Riviere Qui Barre	6.7	6.8		321	162	—	Mills Haven		Sherwood Park	6.4	7.0
258	194	—	Dr Elliott		Linden	6.7	6.8		321	162	—	Simons Valley		Calgary	6.4	7.0
258	230	—	St. Clare		Calgary	6.7	6.5		321	230	—	St. Lucy		Edmonton	6.4	6.5
258	230	—	Holy Cross		Edmonton	6.7	6.5		321	265	—	Monsignor Neville Anderson		Calgary	6.4	6.3
258	248	—	Caroline		Caroline	6.7	6.4		321	277	—	Graminia		Spruce Grove	6.4	6.2
258	248	—	Terrace Ridge		Lacombe	6.7	6.4		321	302	—	Bentley		Bentley	6.4	6.0
258	248	—	Coalhurst		Coalhurst	6.7	6.4		321	302	▲	Caernarvon		Edmonton	6.4	6.0
258	265	—	Blueberry		Stony Plain	6.7	6.3		321	349	—	Willow Park		Leduc	6.4	5.7
258	277	—	St. Matthews		Rocky Mountain House	6.7	6.2		321	349	—	Blessed Damien		Calgary	6.4	5.7
258	290	▲	New Sarepta		New Sarepta	6.7	6.1		321	416	▲	St. Brendan		Edmonton	6.4	5.2
258	290	—	Gateway Christian		Red Deer	6.7	6.1		321	416	—	Holy Cross		Calgary	6.4	5.2
258	302	—	Sister Mary Phillips		Fort McMurray	6.7	6.0		321	449	—	Boyle		Boyle	6.4	5.0
258	317	▲	Bellevue		Beaumont	6.7	5.9		338	n/a	n/a	Les Cypres		Medicine Hat	6.3	n/a
258	349	—	St. Charles		Edmonton	6.7	5.7		338	n/a	n/a	Joan Carr		Edmonton	6.3	n/a
258	365	—	Corinthia Park		Leduc	6.7	5.6		338	n/a	n/a	Louis Riel		Grande Prairie	6.3	n/a
258	399	▲	Steinhauer		Edmonton	6.7	5.3		338	n/a	n/a	Nellie McClung		Calgary	6.3	n/a
286	n/a	n/a	Bishop David Motiuk		Edmonton	6.6	n/a		338	105	▼	St. Maria Goretti		Calgary	6.3	7.4
286	n/a	n/a	Christ the King		Edmonton	6.6	n/a		338	162	—	James Mowat		Fort Saskatchewan	6.3	7.0
286	n/a	n/a	Joseph M. Demko		St. Albert	6.6	n/a		338	207	—	Calgary Islamic, OBK		Calgary	6.3	6.7
286	n/a	n/a	Mannville		Mannville	6.6	n/a		338	207	—	Mill Creek		Edmonton	6.3	6.7
286	n/a	n/a	C. P. Blakely		Sylvan Lake	6.6	n/a		338	207	—	Monsignor A. J. Hetherington		Calgary	6.3	6.7
286	n/a	n/a	Lethbridge Christian		Lethbridge	6.6	n/a		338	248	—	Elmer S Gish		St. Albert	6.3	6.4
286	73	—	Glen Allan		Sherwood Park	6.6	7.6		338	265	—	Elizabeth Finch		Edmonton	6.3	6.3
286	73	—	Our Lady of Peace		Calgary	6.6	7.6		338	265	—	Donnan		Edmonton	6.3	6.3
286	143	—	Three Hills		Three Hills	6.6	7.1		338	302	—	Timberlea		Fort McMurray	6.3	6.0
286	230	▲	Connaught		Calgary	6.6	6.5		338	349	—	Waverley		Edmonton	6.3	5.7
286	265	—	Light of Christ		Calgary	6.6	6.3		338	399	—	Central High Sedgewick		Sedgewick	6.3	5.3
286	277	—	Irvine		Irvine	6.6	6.2		338	434	—	Chief Justice Milvain		Calgary	6.3	5.1
286	334	—	Guthrie		Lancaster Park	6.6	5.8		338	492	▲	Brightview		Edmonton	6.3	4.5
286	349	▲	Fraser		Edmonton	6.6	5.7		355	n/a	n/a	Meadows Baptist Academy		Edmonton	6.2	n/a
286	379	▲	Falun		Falun	6.6	5.5		355	143	—	Fort Saskatchewan Christian		Fort Saskatchewan	6.2	7.1
301	n/a	n/a	Shauna May Seneca		Edmonton	6.5	n/a		355	143	—	Marwayne Jubilee		Marwayne	6.2	7.1
301	n/a	n/a	Escuela Vista Grande		Red Deer	6.5	n/a		355	143	—	Malcolm Tweddle		Edmonton	6.2	7.1
301	n/a	n/a	Sacred Heart		Calgary	6.5	n/a		355	220	▼	St. Pius X		Calgary	6.2	6.6
301	73	—	St. Philip		Calgary	6.5	7.6		355	230	—	High Park		Stony Plain	6.2	6.5
301	162	—	Sweet Grass		Edmonton	6.5	7.0		355	230	—	Elk Point		Elk Point	6.2	6.5
301	179	—	Centennial		Edmonton	6.5	6.9		355	230	—	River Valley		Sundre	6.2	6.5
301	207	—	Sainte Marguerite Bourgeoys		Calgary	6.5	6.7		355	265	—	Coronation		Coronation	6.2	6.3
301	230	—	St. Ambrose		Calgary	6.5	6.5		355	265	—	Our Lady of Victories		Edmonton	6.2	6.3
301	248	—	Bessie Nichols		Edmonton	6.5	6.4		355	334	—	Calgary Arts		Calgary	6.2	5.8
301	248	—	Walter & Gladys Hill		Fort McMurray	6.5	6.4		355	365	—	Tipaskan		Edmonton	6.2	5.6
301	248	▲	Dansereau Meadows		Beaumont	6.5	6.4		355	379	▲	Grant MacEwan		Calgary	6.2	5.5
301	248	—	Beau Meadow		Beaumont	6.5	6.4		355	434	—	Coralwood Adventist		Edmonton	6.2	5.1

---Rank---						---Overall rating---								
2022/	Last					2022/	Last							
2023	5	Trend	School name	City	2023	5	Trend	School name	City	2023	5			
369	n/a	n/a	Tyndale Christian	Calgary	6.1	n/a		420	207	—	Bezanson	Bezanson	5.8	6.7
369	73	—	Alix (Mirror and Alix Central)	Alix	6.1	7.6		420	248	—	St. Mary	Beaverlodge	5.8	6.4
369	143	—	Notre-Dame	Edmonton	6.1	7.1		420	265	—	St. Jude	Calgary	5.8	6.3
369	194	—	Victoria	Edmonton	6.1	6.8		420	290	—	Holy Cross	Cold Lake	5.8	6.1
369	194	—	Bisset	Edmonton	6.1	6.8		420	290	—	Mary Hanley	Edmonton	5.8	6.1
369	207	—	Bowden Grandview	Bowden	6.1	6.7		420	349	—	St. Augustine	Ponoka	5.8	5.7
369	220	▼	St. Augustine	Calgary	6.1	6.6		420	399	—	Notre Dame	Leduc	5.8	5.3
369	277	—	St. Henry	Calgary	6.1	6.2		420	416	—	St. Michael	Calgary	5.8	5.2
369	302	—	Holy Family	Edmonton	6.1	6.0		433	n/a	n/a	Donald R. Getty	Edmonton	5.7	n/a
369	334	—	Holy Spirit	Devon	6.1	5.8		433	n/a	n/a	Whispering Ridge	Grande Prairie	5.7	n/a
369	334	—	Hardisty	Edmonton	6.1	5.8		433	248	—	St. Martha	Fort McMurray	5.7	6.4
369	349	—	St. Edmund	Edmonton	6.1	5.7		433	277	—	Notre-Dame de la Paix	Calgary	5.7	6.2
369	379	—	Eleanor Hall	Clyde	6.1	5.5		433	317	—	Langdon Area	Langdon	5.7	5.9
369	379	—	Rio Terrace	Edmonton	6.1	5.5		433	349	—	A. L. Horton	Vegreville	5.7	5.7
369	468	▲	Canyon	Pincher Creek	6.1	4.8		433	349	—	Northmount	Edmonton	5.7	5.7
384	n/a	n/a	Divine Mercy Catholic	Edmonton	6.0	n/a		433	349	—	St. Clement	Edmonton	5.7	5.7
384	143	—	Holyrood	Edmonton	6.0	7.1		433	365	—	Trinity Christian	Strathmore	5.7	5.6
384	162	—	Edmonton Christian West	Edmonton	6.0	7.0		433	365	—	St. Patrick	Calgary	5.7	5.6
384	207	▼	St. Thomas More	Calgary	6.0	6.7		433	391	—	J A Fife	Edmonton	5.7	5.4
384	207	▼	St. Alphonsus	Calgary	6.0	6.7		433	399	—	Thorhild Central	Thorhild	5.7	5.3
384	230	—	Sturgeon Heights	St. Albert	6.0	6.5		433	416	—	Kateri Mission	Grande Prairie	5.7	5.2
384	230	▼	Greenview	Edmonton	6.0	6.5		433	449	—	Thickwood Heights	Fort McMurray	5.7	5.0
384	248	—	Camille J Lerouge	Red Deer	6.0	6.4		447	n/a	n/a	des Beaux-Lacs	Bonnyville	5.6	n/a
384	277	—	St. Isabella	Calgary	6.0	6.2		447	n/a	n/a	Steffie Woima	Sylvan Lake	5.6	n/a
384	277	—	Livingstone	Lundbreck	6.0	6.2		447	n/a	n/a	South Side	Red Deer	5.6	n/a
384	317	—	Greentree	Drumheller	6.0	5.9		447	220	—	Annunciation	Edmonton	5.6	6.6
384	334	—	East Elementary	Leduc	6.0	5.8		447	265	—	Seven Persons	Seven Persons	5.6	6.3
384	334	▲	St. Bede	Calgary	6.0	5.8		447	290	▼	Bawlf	Bawlf	5.6	6.1
384	391	—	St. Bonaventure	Edmonton	6.0	5.4		447	334	—	Father Lacombe	Lacombe	5.6	5.8
384	416	—	RancheView	Cochrane	6.0	5.2		447	334	—	John Paul II	Stony Plain	5.6	5.8
384	416	—	St. Michael's	Medicine Hat	6.0	5.2		447	379	—	Warburg	Warburg	5.6	5.5
384	434	—	St. Vladimir	Edmonton	6.0	5.1		447	379	—	Trochu Valley	Trochu	5.6	5.5
384	449	—	Lymburn	Edmonton	6.0	5.0		447	416	—	Linsford Park	Leduc	5.6	5.2
384	507	—	St. Catherine	Grande Prairie	6.0	4.3		447	449	▲	Crescent Valley	Hinton	5.6	5.0
403	n/a	n/a	Boréal	Fort McMurray	5.9	n/a		447	533	▲	Beiseker	Beiseker	5.6	3.9
403	n/a	n/a	Champs Vallée	Beaumont	5.9	n/a		460	n/a	n/a	Hines Creek Composite	Hines Creek	5.5	n/a
403	n/a	n/a	St. John Paul II	Medicine Hat	5.9	n/a		460	n/a	n/a	Duchess	Duchess	5.5	n/a
403	n/a	n/a	Holy Spirit	Cochrane	5.9	n/a		460	248	—	Rutherford	Edmonton	5.5	6.4
403	n/a	n/a	Irma	Irma	5.9	n/a		460	290	—	Clear Vista	Wetaskiwin	5.5	6.1
403	n/a	n/a	Hay Lakes	Hay Lakes	5.9	n/a		460	302	—	Albert Lacombe	St. Albert	5.5	6.0
403	49	▼	Father Doucet	Calgary	5.9	8.0		460	302	—	St. Dominic	Cold Lake	5.5	6.0
403	179	—	Coloniale Estates	Beaumont	5.9	6.9		460	302	—	Velma E. Baker	Edmonton	5.5	6.0
403	194	—	Rideau Park	Edmonton	5.9	6.8		460	317	—	New Norway	New Norway	5.5	5.9
403	265	—	Mount View	Calgary	5.9	6.3		460	334	—	Midnapore	Calgary	5.5	5.8
403	277	—	Vermilion	Vermilion	5.9	6.2		460	349	—	Bishop Savaryn	Edmonton	5.5	5.7
403	302	—	Win Ferguson	Fort Saskatchewan	5.9	6.0		460	379	—	Westlock	Westlock	5.5	5.5
403	317	—	West Haven	Leduc	5.9	5.9		460	391	—	Wheatland	Strathmore	5.5	5.4
403	399	▲	Westmount	Strathmore	5.9	5.3		460	399	—	Art Smith Aviation	Cold Lake	5.5	5.3
403	416	—	Holy Trinity	Olds	5.9	5.2		460	416	—	St. Benedict	Edmonton	5.5	5.2
403	462	▲	York	Edmonton	5.9	4.9		460	449	—	Father J A Turcotte	Fort McMurray	5.5	5.0
403	468	—	Fort Saskatchewan	Fort Saskatchewan	5.9	4.8		460	462	—	de la Source	Calgary	5.5	4.9
420	n/a	n/a	Apostles of Jesus	Calgary	5.8	n/a		460	482	—	St. Justin	Edmonton	5.5	4.6
420	n/a	n/a	College Heights Christian	Lacombe	5.8	n/a		460	492	▲	Holy Cross	Grande Prairie	5.5	4.5
420	n/a	n/a	Highlands	Edmonton	5.8	n/a		478	n/a	n/a	Beacon Hill	Sylvan Lake	5.4	n/a
420	n/a	n/a	Ramsay	Calgary	5.8	n/a		478	n/a	n/a	Ardmore	Ardmore	5.4	n/a
420	118	—	St. Boniface	Calgary	5.8	7.3		478	n/a	n/a	Princeton	Edmonton	5.4	n/a

---Rank---			---Overall rating---			---Rank---			---Overall rating---				
2022/	Last			Last		2022/	Last			Last			
2023	5	Trend	School name	2023	5	2023	5	Trend	School name	2023	5		
	2023		City	2023		2023	2023		City	2023			
478	277	—	Derek Taylor	Grande Prairie	5.4	6.2	518	515	—	Thornccliffe	Edmonton	5.1	4.2
478	277	—	St. Rose of Lima	Calgary	5.4	6.2	538	n/a	n/a	Hilwie Hamdon	Edmonton	5.0	n/a
478	317	—	Centennial	Wetaskiwin	5.4	5.9	538	n/a	n/a	Genesis Catholic Online	Edmonton	5.0	n/a
478	334	—	Eastbrook	Brooks	5.4	5.8	538	n/a	n/a	Corpus Christi	Calgary	5.0	n/a
478	416	—	St. Rupert	Calgary	5.4	5.2	538	302	▼	Dorothy Dalgliesh	Picture Butte	5.0	6.0
478	434	—	E E Oliver	Fairview	5.4	5.1	538	334	—	Riverbend	Calgary	5.0	5.8
478	449	—	Blessed Kateri Tekakwitha	Calgary	5.4	5.0	538	365	—	Fort McMurray Islamic	Fort McMurray	5.0	5.6
488	n/a	n/a	Spirit River	Spirit River	5.3	n/a	538	399	—	Dickinsfield	Fort McMurray	5.0	5.3
488	n/a	n/a	St. Gregory The Great	Blackfalds	5.3	n/a	538	416	—	Mundare	Mundare	5.0	5.2
488	n/a	n/a	Ron Southern	Calgary	5.3	n/a	538	533	—	Lee Ridge	Edmonton	5.0	3.9
488	n/a	n/a	George Freeman	Strathmore	5.3	n/a	547	n/a	n/a	John Davidson	Coaldale	4.9	n/a
488	105	—	St. Kateri	Fort McMurray	5.3	7.4	547	n/a	n/a	Foremost	Foremost	4.9	n/a
488	130	—	Chinook Winds Adventist	Calgary	5.3	7.2	547	302	—	Elmwood	Edmonton	4.9	6.0
488	230	▼	Brander Gardens	Edmonton	5.3	6.5	547	317	—	Robert W. Zahara	Sexsmith	4.9	5.9
488	334	—	Norwood	Wetaskiwin	5.3	5.8	547	379	—	St. Dominic	Edmonton	4.9	5.5
488	365	—	Gold Bar	Edmonton	5.3	5.6	547	399	—	Good Shepherd	Peace River	4.9	5.3
488	379	—	Cremona	Cremona	5.3	5.5	547	399	—	Westview	Fort McMurray	4.9	5.3
488	391	—	St. Matthew	Edmonton	5.3	5.4	547	416	▼	St. Thomas More	Fairview	4.9	5.2
488	416	—	Uplands	Brooks	5.3	5.2	547	434	—	Inglewood	Edmonton	4.9	5.1
488	434	—	John Paul II	Calgary	5.3	5.1	547	468	—	Routhier	Falher	4.9	4.8
488	449	—	St. Matthew Lutheran	Stony Plain	5.3	5.0	547	482	—	Prescott	Spruce Grove	4.9	4.6
488	449	—	Glengarry	Edmonton	5.3	5.0	547	507	—	Douglas Harkness	Calgary	4.9	4.3
488	482	—	Katherine Therrien	Edmonton	5.3	4.6	559	n/a	n/a	St. Francis Xavier	Medicine Hat	4.8	n/a
488	492	▲	Sifton	Edmonton	5.3	4.5	559	194	▼	Consort	Consort	4.8	6.8
488	502	▲	St. Cyril	Calgary	5.3	4.4	559	502	—	Catherine Nichols Gunn	Calgary	4.8	4.4
506	n/a	n/a	SouthPointe	Fort Saskatchewan	5.2	n/a	559	502	—	Alex Munro	Calgary	4.8	4.4
506	n/a	n/a	Fireside	Cochrane	5.2	n/a	559	507	—	St. Alphonsus	Edmonton	4.8	4.3
506	n/a	n/a	Carseland	Carseland	5.2	n/a	564	n/a	n/a	La Prairie	Red Deer	4.7	n/a
506	207	▼	Virginia Park	Edmonton	5.2	6.7	564	230	—	St. Stephen	Calgary	4.7	6.5
506	277	—	Chinook Park	Calgary	5.2	6.2	564	290	—	MAC Islamic	Edmonton	4.7	6.1
506	317	—	Our Lady of The Prairies	Edmonton	5.2	5.9	564	302	▼	St. Bernadette	Edmonton	4.7	6.0
506	365	—	St. Benedict	Leduc	5.2	5.6	564	399	—	Noble Central	Nobleford	4.7	5.3
506	379	—	Banded Peak	Bragg Creek	5.2	5.5	564	434	—	Our Lady of The Assumption	Lethbridge	4.7	5.1
506	449	—	St. Paul's	Fort McMurray	5.2	5.0	564	449	—	Daly Grove	Edmonton	4.7	5.0
506	449	—	Youngstown	Edmonton	5.2	5.0	564	462	—	Griffin Park	Brooks	4.7	4.9
506	492	—	Glendale Sciences	Red Deer	5.2	4.5	564	482	—	Crossing Park	Calgary	4.7	4.6
506	507	—	Holy Family	Grimshaw	5.2	4.3	573	n/a	n/a	Hérons Crossing	Airdrie	4.6	n/a
518	n/a	n/a	Prairie Waters	Chestermere	5.1	n/a	573	n/a	n/a	Al-Mustafa Academy	Edmonton	4.6	n/a
518	n/a	n/a	Saint-Vital	Beaumont	5.1	n/a	573	n/a	n/a	Bruderheim	Bruderheim	4.6	n/a
518	n/a	n/a	Dave McNeilly	Fort McMurray	5.1	n/a	573	n/a	n/a	Oyen	Oyen	4.6	n/a
518	n/a	n/a	Griffiths-Scott	Millet	5.1	n/a	573	n/a	n/a	Huntsville	Iron Springs	4.6	n/a
518	179	—	Parkview	Edmonton	5.1	6.9	573	n/a	n/a	Progressive Academy	Edmonton	4.6	n/a
518	230	▼	Leduc Estates	Leduc	5.1	6.5	573	220	▼	Keenooshayo	St. Albert	4.6	6.6
518	302	—	Two Hills	Two Hills	5.1	6.0	573	220	—	St. Catherine's	Picture Butte	4.6	6.6
518	317	▼	Good Shepherd	Airdrie	5.1	5.9	573	365	▼	Brentwood	Strathmore	4.6	5.6
518	365	—	Christina Gordon	Fort McMurray	5.1	5.6	573	365	▼	Lago Lindo	Edmonton	4.6	5.6
518	391	—	Holy Trinity	Calgary	5.1	5.4	573	391	—	Father Scollen	Calgary	4.6	5.4
518	399	—	Father Leduc	Leduc	5.1	5.3	573	399	—	Kameyosek	Edmonton	4.6	5.3
518	434	—	Gabrielle-Roy	Edmonton	5.1	5.1	573	416	—	St. Augustine	Edmonton	4.6	5.2
518	434	—	Baturyn	Edmonton	5.1	5.1	573	434	—	Leo Nickerson	St. Albert	4.6	5.1
518	462	—	Minchau	Edmonton	5.1	4.9	573	468	—	Cedarbrae	Calgary	4.6	4.8
518	468	—	St. Gerard	Grande Prairie	5.1	4.8	573	482	—	Dr. Donald Massey	Edmonton	4.6	4.6
518	468	—	John Paul I	Edmonton	5.1	4.8	573	482	—	St. Mark	Calgary	4.6	4.6
518	477	—	Satoo	Edmonton	5.1	4.7	590	n/a	n/a	Hazeldean	Edmonton	4.5	n/a
518	477	▲	Belmead	Edmonton	5.1	4.7	590	n/a	n/a	Foothills	Calgary	4.5	n/a
518	482	—	Jasper	Jasper	5.1	4.6	590	277	▼	Beaverlodge	Beaverlodge	4.5	6.2

---Rank---				---Overall rating---				---Rank---				---Overall rating---		
2022/	Last			2022/	Last	2022/	Last	2022/	Last			2022/	Last	
2023	5	Trend	School name	2023	5	2023	5	2023	5	Trend	School name	2023	5	
			City								City			
593	n/a	n/a	Elsie Yanik Catholic	Fort McMurray	4.4	n/a		646	533	—	Menisa	Edmonton	3.7	3.9
593	n/a	n/a	Forestburg	Forestburg	4.4	n/a		646	539	—	Stony Plain Central	Stony Plain	3.7	3.8
593	n/a	n/a	St. Monica	Calgary	4.4	n/a		646	554	—	St. Leo	Edmonton	3.7	3.2
593	334	—	Namao	Namao	4.4	5.8		646	558	—	Cecil Swanson	Calgary	3.7	3.0
593	379	—	St. Bernadette	Calgary	4.4	5.5		653	n/a	n/a	Good Shepherd	Fort McMurray	3.6	n/a
593	391	—	Florence Hallock	Edmonton	4.4	5.4		653	507	—	Barrhead	Barrhead	3.6	4.3
593	477	—	Huntington Hills	Calgary	4.4	4.7		653	522	—	St. Elizabeth	Edmonton	3.6	4.1
593	515	—	Tween Valley	Taber	4.4	4.2		653	528	—	Meridian Heights	Stony Plain	3.6	4.0
593	522	—	Bannerman	Edmonton	4.4	4.1		657	n/a	n/a	Soraya Hafez	Edmonton	3.5	n/a
602	n/a	n/a	Fr M Beaugard	Fort McMurray	4.3	n/a		657	434	▼	Glen Avon Protestant	St. Paul	3.5	5.1
602	n/a	n/a	David Thomas King	Edmonton	4.3	n/a		657	462	—	Duggan	Edmonton	3.5	4.9
602	290	▼	Breton	Breton	4.3	6.1		657	477	▼	McKee	Edmonton	3.5	4.7
602	317	—	Holy Family	Calgary	4.3	5.9		657	563	—	Forest Green	Stony Plain	3.5	2.9
602	349	—	Glendon	Glendon	4.3	5.7		662	434	—	Calgary Islamic	Calgary	3.4	5.1
602	365	—	St. Maria Goretti	Edmonton	4.3	5.6		662	477	—	St. Timothy	Edmonton	3.4	4.7
602	399	—	St. Philip	Edmonton	4.3	5.3		662	550	—	Colonel J. Fred Scott	Calgary	3.4	3.3
602	492	—	Kirkness	Edmonton	4.3	4.5		662	563	—	La Mosaïque	Calgary	3.4	2.9
602	507	—	Thornccliffe	Calgary	4.3	4.3		662	565	—	Hythe	Hythe	3.4	2.8
611	n/a	n/a	Northcott Prairie	Airdrie	4.2	n/a		667	n/a	n/a	Christ-King Catholic	Stettler	3.3	n/a
611	349	▼	McLeod	Edmonton	4.2	5.7		667	n/a	n/a	Al Mustafa North	Edmonton	3.3	n/a
611	379	—	Anne Fitzgerald	Edmonton	4.2	5.5		667	449	—	Delburne	Delburne	3.3	5.0
611	416	▼	Sacred Heart	Wetaskiwin	4.2	5.2		667	449	—	St. Martha	Edmonton	3.3	5.0
611	416	—	St. Martha	Calgary	4.2	5.2		667	492	—	Headway	Edmonton	3.3	4.5
611	482	—	Overlanders	Edmonton	4.2	4.6		667	528	▼	Delwood	Edmonton	3.3	4.0
611	482	—	Homesteader	Edmonton	4.2	4.6		667	550	—	Children of St. Martha	Lethbridge	3.3	3.3
611	533	—	Falconridge	Calgary	4.2	3.9		667	554	—	Penson	Grovedale	3.3	3.2
619	n/a	n/a	Rainbow Creek	Chestermere	4.1	n/a		667	557	—	St. Paul	Lethbridge	3.3	3.1
619	302	▼	Provost	Provost	4.1	6.0		676	n/a	n/a	Mayfield	Edmonton	3.2	n/a
619	399	—	Rocky Christian	Rocky Mountain House	4.1	5.3		676	522	—	Callingwood	Edmonton	3.2	4.1
619	468	—	St. Peter	Calgary	4.1	4.8		676	533	—	St. Gerard	Edmonton	3.2	3.9
619	492	—	St. Pius X	Edmonton	4.1	4.5		676	539	—	Ormsby	Edmonton	3.2	3.8
619	502	—	Mountain View	Hinton	4.1	4.4		680	290	—	Winterburn	Edmonton	3.1	6.1
619	515	—	Ridgevalley	Crooked Creek	4.1	4.2		680	515	—	Bassano	Bassano	3.1	4.2
619	528	—	Rundle	Calgary	4.1	4.0		680	522	—	Ponoka	Ponoka	3.1	4.1
619	550	▲	Beacon Heights	Edmonton	4.1	3.3		680	543	—	St. Catherine	Edmonton	3.1	3.7
628	n/a	n/a	Nouvelle Frontiere	Grande Prairie	4.0	n/a		680	550	—	Evansdale	Edmonton	3.1	3.3
628	391	—	Major General Griesbach	Edmonton	4.0	5.4		680	558	—	John Barnett	Edmonton	3.1	3.0
628	434	▼	Duffield	Duffield	4.0	5.1		680	565	—	St. Anne	Edmonton	3.1	2.8
628	482	—	Voyageur	Cold Lake	4.0	4.6		680	573	—	Two Hills Mennonite	Two Hills	3.1	2.4
628	502	—	East Lake	Chestermere	4.0	4.4		688	468	—	Sakaw	Edmonton	3.0	4.8
628	545	—	Our Lady of Peace	Edmonton	4.0	3.6		688	468	—	Bowcroft	Calgary	3.0	4.8
634	n/a	n/a	Ivor Dent	Edmonton	3.9	n/a		688	492	—	Aldergrove	Edmonton	3.0	4.5
634	n/a	n/a	Legal	Legal	3.9	n/a		688	515	—	John XXIII	Calgary	3.0	4.2
634	n/a	n/a	Acadia	Calgary	3.9	n/a		692	522	—	Mother Teresa	Edmonton	2.9	4.1
634	349	▼	Crawford Plains	Edmonton	3.9	5.7		692	528	▼	Grace Martin	Edmonton	2.9	4.0
634	515	—	Broxton Park	Spruce Grove	3.9	4.2		692	549	—	Belvedere	Edmonton	2.9	3.4
634	528	—	Bashaw	Bashaw	3.9	4.0		695	n/a	n/a	St. Mary of the Lake	Slave Lake	2.8	n/a
634	533	—	Dr Karl A Clark	Fort McMurray	3.9	3.9		695	558	—	John A. McDougall	Edmonton	2.8	3.0
634	545	—	Guy Weadick	Calgary	3.9	3.6		695	569	—	Mee-Yah-Noh	Edmonton	2.8	2.7
642	n/a	n/a	Acme	Acme	3.8	n/a		695	571	—	Parkdale	Wetaskiwin	2.8	2.6
642	n/a	n/a	St. Jerome	Edmonton	3.8	n/a		699	n/a	n/a	Entwistle	Entwistle	2.7	n/a
642	434	—	Father Leo Green	Edmonton	3.8	5.1		699	492	—	Elm Street	Medicine Hat	2.7	4.5
642	515	▼	Kensington	Edmonton	3.8	4.2		699	539	—	Dunluce	Edmonton	2.7	3.8
646	n/a	n/a	Avonmore	Edmonton	3.7	n/a		702	n/a	n/a	St. Theresa	Wabasca	2.6	n/a
646	416	▼	Mother Teresa	Grande Prairie	3.7	5.2		702	399	—	Beddington Heights	Calgary	2.6	5.3
646	492	—	G H Dawe	Red Deer	3.7	4.5		702	507	—	McArthur	Edmonton	2.6	4.3

---Rank---					-Overall rating-		---Rank---					-Overall rating-		
2022/	5	Last			2022/	5	Last	2022/	5	Last			2022/	5
2023	yrs	Trend	School name	City	2023	yrs		2023	yrs	Trend	School name	City	2023	yrs
702	543	—	Ekota	Edmonton	2.6	3.7		717	545	—	Athlone	Edmonton	1.7	3.6
702	554	—	Vista Heights	Calgary	2.6	3.2		719	565	▼	Lorelei	Edmonton	1.5	2.8
707	539	▼	St. Teresa of Calcutta	Lethbridge	2.5	3.8		720	n/a	n/a	Kinuso	Kinuso	1.3	n/a
708	545	▼	Buchanan	Calgary	2.4	3.6		721	575	—	Delton	Edmonton	1.2	1.3
709	522	—	Webster Niblock	Medicine Hat	2.3	4.1		722	558	▼	Norwood	Edmonton	1.1	3.0
709	574	▲	Lauderdale	Edmonton	2.3	2.0		722	565	—	Belmont	Edmonton	1.1	2.8
711	317	—	Almadina	Calgary	2.2	5.9		724	578	—	Abbott	Edmonton	0.7	0.7
711	558	▼	Balwin	Edmonton	2.2	3.0		725	n/a	n/a	À la Découverte	Edmonton	0.3	n/a
713	n/a	n/a	Grimshaw	Grimshaw	1.9	n/a		725	579	▲	Piitoayis Family	Calgary	0.3	0.2
713	572	—	Calder	Edmonton	1.9	2.5		727	n/a	n/a	Queen Elizabeth	Calgary	0.2	n/a
715	n/a	n/a	Light of Christ	Lac La Biche	1.8	n/a		728	n/a	n/a	Mamawi Atosketan	Ponoka	0.0	n/a
715	569	—	St. Francis of Assisi	Edmonton	1.8	2.7		728	576	—	Ben Calf Robe - St. Clare	Edmonton	0.0	1.2
717	n/a	n/a	Atlas	Airdrie	1.7	n/a		728	577	▼	Ashmont	Ashmont	0.0	1.0

Appendix: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10

The *Overall rating out of 10* is intended to answer the question, “In general, how is the school doing academically, compared to the other schools in the Report Card?” The following is a simplified description of the procedure used to convert the raw indicator data into the *Overall rating out of 10*.

- 1 Course by course, the average achievement test marks and failure rates for each school were standardized by calculating Z , which is defined by:

$$Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$$

where X is the individual school’s result, μ is the mean of the all-schools distribution of results, and σ is the standard deviation of the same all-schools distribution.

- 2 The course-by-course standardized average achievement test marks were then aggregated to produce a weighted average standardized average test mark value. The weighting used was the number of student writers in each subject area at the school relative to the total number of student writers of the relevant tests. This same procedure was used to aggregate the course-by-course standardized failure rates.
- 3 The two weighted average standardized values calculated in 2) above were then re-standardized.
- 4 The *Gender gap* indicators were calculated using the raw data and then standardized as described in step 1 above.
- 5 The four standardized results calculated in 3) and 4) above were then combined to produce a weighted average summary standardized score for the school. The weightings used in these calculations were *Average test mark*—50%; *Percentage of tests failed*—30%; *Grade-6 gender gap: Language Arts*—10%; *Grade-6 gender gap: Mathematics*—10%. For schools for which there were no gender-gap results in either of the two courses, the *Percentage of tests failed* was weighted at 50%.
- 6 This summary standardized score was re-standardized.

This standardized score was converted into an overall rating between 0 and 10 as follows:

- 7 The allowable maximum and minimum standardized scores were set at 2.2 and -3.29 , respectively. Scores equal to, or greater than, 2.2 receive an overall rating of 10. This cut-off was chosen because it allows more than one school in a given year to be awarded 10 out of 10. Scores of equal to, or less than, -3.29 receive the lowest overall rating of 0. Schools with scores below -3.29 are likely to be outliers, a statistical term used to denote members of a population that appear to have characteristics substantially different from the rest of the population. We chose, therefore, to set the minimum score so as to disregard such extreme differences.

- 8 The resulting standardized scores were converted into *Overall ratings* according to the formula:

$$OR = \mu + (\sigma * \text{StanScore})$$

where OR is the resulting *Overall rating*, μ is the average calculated according to the formula:

$$\mu = (OR_{\min} - 10 (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max})) / (1 - (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max}))$$

where σ is the standard deviation calculated according to the formula:

$$\sigma = (10 - \mu) / Z_{\max}$$

and StanScore is the standardized score calculated in (6) above and adjusted as required for minimum and maximum values as noted in (7) above. As noted in (7) above, OR_{\min} equals zero, Z_{\min} equals -3.29 ; and Z_{\max} equals 2.2 .

- 9 Finally, the derived *Overall rating* is rounded to one place of the decimal to reflect the significant number of places of the decimal in the original raw data.

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating*, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

About the authors

Max Shang

Max Shang is an Economist at the Fraser Institute. Prior to joining the Institute, Max worked for the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations as a statistician and University of Guelph as senior research associate. His past research work has been published in leading academic journals including *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics* and *Computational Statistics*. He holds a Ph.D. in Food, Agricultural and Resource Economics from the University of Guelph.

Peter Cowley

Peter Cowley is a Senior Fellow and former Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute. He has a B.Comm. from the University of British Columbia (1974). In 1994, Mr Cowley independently wrote and published *The Parent's Guide*, a popular handbook for parents of British Columbia's secondary-school students. The Parent's Guide web site replaced the handbook in 1995. In 1998, Mr Cowley was co-author of the Fraser Institute's *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia*, the first of the Institute's continuing series of annual reports on school performance. This was followed in by *The 1999 Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools, Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, and *The 1999 Report Card on Alberta's High Schools*. Since then, Mr Cowley has co-authored all of the Institute's annual Report Cards. Annual editions now include Report Cards on elementary and secondary schools in British Columbia, Alberta, and Ontario and on secondary schools in Quebec.

Publishing information

Distribution

These publications are available from <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org>> in Portable Document Format (PDF) and can be read with Adobe Acrobat® 7 or Adobe Reader®, versions 7 or later. Adobe Reader® DC, the most recent version, is available free of charge from Adobe Systems Inc. at <<http://get.adobe.com/reader/>>. Readers who have trouble viewing or printing our PDF files using applications from other manufacturers (e.g., Apple's Preview) should use Reader® or Acrobat®.

Ordering publications

For information about ordering the printed publications of the Fraser Institute, please contact the publications coordinator:

- ✎ e-mail: sales@fraserinstitute.org
- ✎ telephone: 604.688.0221 ext. 580
or, toll free, 1.800.665.3558 ext. 580
- ✎ fax: 604.688.8539.

Media

For media enquiries, please contact our Communications Department:

- ✎ 604.714.4582
- ✎ e-mail: communications@fraserinstitute.org.

Copyright

Copyright ©2024 by the Fraser Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

ISSN / ISBN

1707–2395 Studies in Education Policy (English online edition)

1492–1863 Studies in Education Policy (English print edition)

Date of issue

2024

Typesetting

Nick Murphy

Cover design

Peng Wei

Supporting the Fraser Institute

To learn how to support the Fraser Institute, please contact us

- ✎ via post: Development Department, Fraser Institute
Fourth Floor, 1770 Burrard Street
Vancouver, British Columbia, V6J 3G7 Canada
- ✎ via telephone from Canada, toll-free: 1.800.665.3558 ext. 548
- ✎ via e-mail: development@fraserinstitute.org
- ✎ or visit our webpage: <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org/support-us/overview.aspx>>.

Purpose, funding, & independence

The Fraser Institute provides a useful public service. We report objective information about the economic and social effects of current public policies, and we offer evidence-based research and education about policy options that can improve the quality of life.

The Institute is a non-profit organization. Our activities are funded by charitable donations, unrestricted grants, ticket sales, and sponsorships from events, the licensing of products for public distribution, and the sale of publications.

All research is subject to rigorous review by external experts, and is conducted and published separately from the Institute's Board of Directors and its donors.

The opinions expressed by the authors are those of the individuals themselves, and do not necessarily reflect those of the Institute, its Board of Directors, its donors and supporters, or its staff. This publication in no way implies that the Fraser Institute, its directors, or staff are in favour of, or oppose the passage of, any bill; or that they support or oppose any particular political party or candidate.

As a healthy part of public discussion among fellow citizens who desire to improve the lives of people through better public policy, the Institute welcomes evidence-focused scrutiny of the research we publish, including verification of data sources, replication of analytical methods, and intelligent debate about the practical effects of policy recommendations.

About the Fraser Institute

Our mission is to improve the quality of life for Canadians, their families and future generations by studying, measuring and broadly communicating the effects of government policies, entrepreneurship and choice on their well-being.

Notre mission consiste à améliorer la qualité de vie des Canadiens et des générations à venir en étudiant, en mesurant et en diffusant les effets des politiques gouvernementales, de l'entrepreneuriat et des choix sur leur bien-être.

Peer review—validating the accuracy of our research

The Fraser Institute maintains a rigorous peer review process for its research. New research, major research projects, and substantively modified research conducted by the Fraser Institute are reviewed by a minimum of one internal expert and two external experts. Reviewers are expected to have a recognized expertise in the topic area being addressed. Whenever possible, external review is a blind process.

Commentaries and conference papers are reviewed by internal experts. Updates to previously reviewed research or new editions of previously reviewed research are not reviewed unless the update includes substantive or material changes in the methodology.

The review process is overseen by the directors of the Institute's research departments who are responsible for ensuring all research published by the Institute passes through the appropriate peer review. If a dispute about the recommendations of the reviewers should arise during the Institute's peer review process, the Institute has an Editorial Advisory Board, a panel of scholars from Canada, the United States, and Europe to whom it can turn for help in resolving the dispute.

Editorial Advisory Board

Members

Prof. Terry L. Anderson
 Prof. Robert Barro
 Prof. Jean-Pierre Centi
 Prof. John Chant
 Prof. Bev Dahlby
 Prof. Erwin Diewert

Prof. J.C. Herbert Emery
 Prof. Steven Globerman
 Prof. Jack L. Granatstein
 Prof. Herbert G. Grubel
 Dr. Jerry Jordan
 Prof. Robert Lawson

Prof. Ross McKittrick
 Prof. Michael Parkin
 Prof. Friedrich Schneider
 Prof. Lawrence B. Smith
 Mr. Vito Tanzi

Past members

Prof. Armen Alchian*
 Prof. Michael Bliss*
 Prof. James M. Buchanan* †
 Prof. Stephen Easton*

Prof. James Gwartney*
 Prof. Friedrich A. Hayek* †
 Prof. H.G. Johnson*
 Prof. Ronald W. Jones*

Prof. F.G. Pannance*
 Prof. George Stigler* †
 Sir Alan Walters*
 Prof. Edwin G. West*

* deceased; † Nobel Laureate